

Indicators Workgroup Notes

March 9, 2015

June 30th requirement

- Every college every indicator by June 30th.
 - Not realistic
 - There isn't adequate time to develop targets by June 30th
 - 72 districts and each has different protocols for setting targets
- Overview of Principles
 - Compliance with statute
 - Informing system goals
 - Not same indicators for every college, especially equity
 - At least one indicator in each group
 - Same indicator
 - Leading indicators
 - Standards, targets, aspirational, higher
 - Targets won't always be higher, especially for fund balance, FTES, etc.
 - Capital loves that we are working on meaningful indicators
 - Need to connect leading indicators with long term outcomes
 - Scorecard is a great accountability tool. We're not trying to change that.
 - We need to build local flexibility
 - Data used should be set system-wide, but allow choices for what folks set.
 - Minimum standards, then allow colleges to pick additional.
 - Goals will not be approached the same way at every college
 - May not have a stretch goal for each indicator
 - V2.0 doesn't necessarily have to be implemented by every college in year 2.
 - Need to connect goals and measures from IEPI, Student Equity and SSSP.
- Recommendations
 - One indicator from each category. Same indicator for every college.
 - V1.0:
 - Outcomes: Course completion rate
 - Accreditation Status
 - Overall audit opinion
 - Fiscal: Fund balance
 - Some colleges will volunteer to set targets for all
 - Will take standards or target, but encourage aspirational targets
 - V1.0 is 1.0. It will change next year. Talk about 2.0 and timelines at the workshops.
 - Drew heavily on existing data.
 - Need to place context for this dialog, talking points

- Colleges will get three years of data by 3/16, except this year's scorecard data which will be available around 3/30.
- Future Agendas:
 - Flesh out additional indicators
 - Timeline for v2.0
 - Workforce/, access, equity, leading
 - Show baseline data
 - Show targets